

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | - |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|
| 10/756,188 | 01/12/2004 | Brian P. Brockway | 020819-000120US | 2296 | - |
| 20350 7 | 590 08/08/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER | | | SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY | |
| EIGHTH FLO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN FRANCI | SCO, CA 94111-3834 | | 3766 | | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| | 10/756,188 | BROCKWAY ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kennedy Schaetzle | 3766 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addre | ss | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: tute, cause the application to become ABAN | TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this commodened (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | wance except for formal matters | s, prosecution as to the me | erits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/8/04. | <u>—</u> | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15 | 2) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/756,188 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The examiner notes the existence of several claimed species involving the type of secondary parameter used (i.e., vascular pressure, vascular flow, intracardiac pressure and tissue perfusion), but is not requiring an election of species because the various species are not considered to be patentably distinct (i.e., they are not considered capable of supporting separate patents without invoking obviousness-type double patenting).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geddes et al. (Pat. No. 4,291,699).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Geddes et al. disclose a medical method comprising providing an ITD (defibrillator) configured to measure a primary parameter indicative of an aberrant heart rhythm (ECG) and to deliver a therapy to correct the aberrant heart rhythm; providing an ISD configured to measure a secondary parameter (impedance) indicative of the aberrant heart rhythm; implanting the ITD and ISD in a patient; connecting the ITD and ISD; and operating the ITD as a function of the measured primary parameter and the measured secondary parameter (see control logic 30 of Fig. 1 and associated text). Related comments apply to similarly worded claims 8 and 14.
- 5. Regarding claims 6 and 12, Geddes et al. disclose that pressure transducers introduced into the heart have been used and are capable of providing the necessary measurement of cardiac mechanical activity (col. 3, lines 12-26). The fact that Geddes et al. disparage detecting the mechanical activity with such a pressure transducer does not preclude application of the Geddes et al. reference to claim 6 (see MPEP 2131.05).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 4, 5, 7, 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geddes et al..
- 8. While Geddes et al. do not disclose utilizing vascular pressure, vascular flow or tissue perfusion, Official Notice is taken that these parameters are all well-known indicators of the hemodynamic state of the cardiovascular system. Those of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that any secondary parameter capable of providing the defibrillator with accurate data able to confirm the suspicion of an aberrant heart rhythm as detected by the primary ECG system, would have been suitable to the Geddes et al. invention. The examiner further has not required an election of species as discussed above because the various parameters set forth were not considered to be patentably distinct from one another.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 571 272-4954. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W and F from 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on M-F at 571 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,188 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KJS August 5, 2006

> KENNEDY SCHAETZLE PRIMARY EXAMINER